

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,822	08/27/2001	Takahiro Tomida	01520/LH	6670
¹⁹³³ FRISHAUF, H	7590 05/24/200 OLTZ, GOODMAN &	EXAMINER		
220 Fifth Avenue			HUNTSINGER, PETER K	
16TH Floor NEW YORK, 1	NY 10001-7708		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/940,822		TOMIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter K. Huntsinger	2625	

	Peter K. Huntsinger	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / unonamone	(1.02.02.1).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 38 and 39. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 37.		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(F10/58/08) Paper No(s)	- 11/				
To Other.		1/4				
AHERS SA	AU SUPERVISOR	ING S. MOE BY PATENT EXAMI	VER			
1/01/11/11/		5/23/07.				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant argues on page 3 of the response in essence that:

Safai 469 does not disclose the pre-stored images on the server being pre-stored by a device other than the portable communication terminal.

While the images are received from the portable communication terminal, the actual act of storing is performed by the server (col. 13-14, lines 66-67, 1). Therefore, the images are pre-stored by the server.

The applicant argues on page 4 of the response in essence that:

Safai 469 does not disclose causing a portable communication terminal to display thumbnails corresponding to pre-stored images on a server.

Safai '469 discloses uploading images to the server (col. 15, lines 33-37). The images after uploading are retained on the portable communication terminal (col. 10, lines 33-37). Therefore, the thumbnails on the camera of Safai '469 correspond to the images previously stored on the server.

The applicant argues on pages 4 and 5 of the response in essence that:

Safai '469 does not disclose determining, at the server, whether the portable communication terminal has issued a registration command to register at least one of the pre-stored images on the server corresponding to at least one of the thumbnails displayed by the portable communication terminal.

Safai '469 disclose the transportation application (within the camera) sends photos to the server (col. 13, lines 39-53). The camera can connect to the server at a later time and thus the photos can be considered pre-stored. Therefore, the act of registering images (sending selecting photos to the server) can occur after the images have been previously sent, and thus pre-stored.

The applicant argues on pages 6 and 7 of the response in essence that:

Safai '469 does not disclose relating, at the server, the at least one selected image with the caller's number of the portable communication terminal and storing the selected image in association with the caller's number of the portable communication terminal when it is determined that the portable communication terminal has issued the registration command.

Safai '469 disclose the server stores user information, which consists of a telephone number, with the photos when uploaded (col. 13-14, lines 54-67, 1). Therefore, the server relates the image (uploaded photo) with the caller's number (telephone number) when the portable communication terminal has issued a registration command (sending selecting photos to the server).